

Working Group on Information Systems and Services

CEOS Common Terminology

CEOS Common Terminology Group CEOS WGISS #52 Virtual Meeting

19 - 21 October 2021





- Multi-disciplinary collaboration across Earth Observation and geoscience domains becomes more frequent and requires a common understanding of terms and definitions
- Collaboration initiated between CEOS WGCV, IVOS, and WGISS to "advance the idea of a CEOS common online dictionary, with a view to eventually reaching out to launch a broader community effort (...)" (WGCV Action Item 49-06, June 2021)
- Status and way forward
 - CEOS Common Terminology group established V
 - Workplan drafted
 - Merging WGISS Data Stewardship Glossary into CEOS WGCV 'Terms and Definitions' Wiki - ongoing
 - Reach out to promote initiative ongoing
 - Dicsuss target infrastructure upcoming





Consolidating EO/geospatial terminology



 To establish a consolidated online source for EO/geospatial terminology to be used as the unique reference

Rationale

- With the advent of 'Big Data', Earth Observation, Geospatial Sciences and many of their fields of application become increasingly intertwined, i.e. they become a single 'domain of knowledge'
- Traditions and legacy usage make their vocabularies increasingly complex and confusing as soon as previous 'communities' are crossed.
- Multidisciplinary working groups need to agree on terminology when starting their work, often struggling with diverging practices and then starting own tailored versions (often as "least common denominator")



History of the initiative



- Initial contacts and discussions with OGC technical committee, ISO TC211, FAIR dataset quality information WG, CEOS WGCV between December 2020 and June 2021
- Conclusions
 - a consolidation of geospatial/EO terminology seems largely welcome and considered necessary
 - rules, procedures, and tools/platform could and should be put in place first
- CEOS WGCV Action Item 49-06
 - Peter Strobl to work with IVOS and WGISS to advance the idea of a CEOS common online dictionary, with a view to eventually reaching out to launch a broader community effort (e.g., based on the 'Geolexica')."



Current situation

Existing glossaries define similar terms in a different way Example: "Land Cover" (or "landcover")



OGC:

- not available
- INSPIRE:
 - Physical and biological cover of the earth's surface including artificial surfaces, agricultural areas, forests, (semi-)natural areas, wetlands, water bodies.
- NASA:
 - The characteristics of a land surface as determined by its spectral signature (the unique way in which a given type of land cover reflects and absorbs light).

WGISS #52 virtual meeting October 19 - 21 2021

CESS

Glossary governance proposed



- Fully online with fixed URL (DOI), and dedicated URLs for each entry
- Endorsed by all major stakeholders -> 'One Glossary'
- Open to 'public' contributions (registered users -> 'Wikipedia' or 'Git' style)
- Monitoring and moderation by multi-agency group of experts
- Mechanisms for resolving intra- and inter-disciplinary disputes
- Versioning (like in 'Git')
- Compulsory use in all standards (i.e. no in-document conflicting definitions)
- Compulsory listing of all standards using a term



Current Composition

Peter Strobl, EC-JRC Damiano Guerrucci, ESA Emma Woolliams, NPL Nigel Fox, NPL

Steve Labahn, USGS Matthew Steventon, Symbios Katrin Molch, DLR



- Status of Activities
 - Workplan drafted (done)
 - Merging WGISS Data Stewardship Glossary into CEOS WGCV 'Terms and Definitions' Wiki (ongoing)
 - Reach out to promote initiative (ongoing)
 - Discuss target infrastructure (upcoming)





TERMS For any questions please contact WGCV – Peter.Strobl@ec.europa.eu SI-VC - labahn construction WGISS - Katrin.Molch Coll. Please note that this is work in progress and your feedbard of the state of the <u>Please note that this is work in progress and your feedback is welcome</u>





Additional Material





Some well-known glossaries in EO/Geosciences



- ISO/TC 211 terminology management group: <u>https://isotc211.geolexica.org/</u>
- OGC: <u>https://www.ogc.org/ogc/glossary/</u>, <u>http://www.opengis.net/def/glossary/</u>
- INSPIRE glossary: <u>https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/glossary</u>
- CEOS:<u>http://ceos.org/document_management/Working_Groups/WGISS/Interest</u> <u>Groups/Data_Stewardship/White_Papers/EO-</u> DataStewardshipGlossary_v1.2.pdf
- NASA: <u>https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/glossary</u>

> Of these only the 'Geolexica' is interlinked and addressable (per term)!

- None shows structure or ontology
- > All have gaps and inconsistencies!

CESS

A few proposed good practices for definitions



- Each term needs to be individually addressable
- Each term needs to be uniquely defined, incl. unified spelling
- Each definition needs to be complete, i.e. building only on everyday language or other defined terms
- All terms used in a definition which are defined themselves need to be marked and linked (parent-relations)
- All related terms where a term is (re-)used need to be listed and linked (sibling and child relations)
- Circular relations (child becomes parent) shall be avoided
- Categorisations need to be unambiguous (no overlaps) and wherever possible complete (no 'grey zones')